



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,855	12/05/2003	Antonin A. Meibock	KORH-1-1002	8311
25315	7590 08/24/2004		EXAMINER	
BLACK LOWE & GRAHAM, PLLC			STASHICK, ANTHONY D	
701 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 4800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA 98104			3728	_
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office And O	10/729,855	MEIBOCK, ANTONIN A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anthony Stashick	3728				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IT THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rition. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thind period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	l .					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for a						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-44 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Extended The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 December 200</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the con	23 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan correction is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06252004, 05172004.	48) Paper No(s	fummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/729,855

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-3, 5-12, 14-20, 27-29, 31-35, 37-39, 41-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Parisi 1,349,095. Parisi '095 discloses all the limitations of the claims including the following: a toe engaging apparatus comprising a panel 17 attached to an insole 10 located within a sock/liner 29 where the toe engaging apparatus is attached to the interior of the shoe (by insole) and the insole by stitching (see col. 2, lines 59-61), the stitching being the bonding agent and attaching the panel at a plurality of points within the shoe. The upper portion of the strap 17 is loose within the shoe and allows for movement of the panel with respect to the inside of the toebox.

Application/Control Number: 10/729,855 Page 3

Art Unit: 3728

3. Claims 1-3, 5-12, 14-20, 22-29, 31-35, 37-39 and 41-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Krajcir 6,389,715. Krajcir '715 discloses all the limitations of the claims including the following: a toe engaging apparatus comprising a panel (see Figure 4, panel is entire toe protector) attached to an insole (see Figures 3, 5 and 7) located within a sock/liner 21 where the toe engaging apparatus is attached to the interior of the shoe (see Figures 3, 5 and 7) and the insole by (see Figure 7)), a bonding agent and attaching the panel at a plurality of points within the shoe. The upper portion of the apparatus is loose within the shoe and allows for movement of the panel with respect to the inside of the toebox.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 4, 13, 21, 30 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parisi 1,349,095 as applied above in view of Houser et al. 6,718,656. Parisi '0095 discloses all the limitations of the claims except for the panel including neoprene. Houser et al. '656 teaches that neoprene can be used in a strap to aid in allowing the strap to stretch and to firmly hold the strap to the user's foot. Therefore, it would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to make the strap of Parisi '095 out of neoprene to allow for it to be elastic and adjust to the change in size of the user's foot during use due to swelling of the foot.

Application/Control Number: 10/729,855 Page 4

Art Unit: 3728

6. Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parisi 1,349,095 as

applied to claim 27 above in view of Salomon 4,253,251. Parisi '095 as applied to claim 27 above

discloses all the limitations of the claims except the footwear system including a ski boot. Salomon

'251 teaches that it is desirable, in a ski boot, to have a strap in the toe area to aid in holding the foot

within the boot. Therefore, it would have been obvious to use the innersole of Parisi '095 in a ski boot

to aid in holding the user's foot within the ski boot as desired in Salomon '251.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure are cited on form 892 enclosed herewith.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Anthony Stashick whose telephone number is 703-308-3876. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 am until 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Mickey Yu can be reached on 703-308-2672. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anthony Stashick Primary Examiner

Art 4,4 3728